UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MATTHEW CARLSON,

Petitioner,

٧.

Case No. 06C0481

CATHY JESS,

Respondent.

ORDER

On April 10, 2006, Matthew Carlson filed this petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, asserting that his state court conviction and sentence were imposed in violation of the Constitution. Petitioner was convicted in Ozaukee County Circuit Court of four counts of first degree sexual assault of a child. He was sentenced to 55 years imprisonment and is currently incarcerated at Dodge Correctional Institution.

Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, I must give the case prompt initial consideration.

If it plainly appears from the face of the petition and any exhibits annexed to it that the petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court, the judge shall make an order for its summary dismissal and cause the petitioner to be notified. Otherwise the judge shall order the respondent to file an answer.

Rule 4, Rules Governing § 2254 Cases. During my initial review of habeas petitions, I look to see whether the petitioner has set forth cognizable constitutional or federal law claims.

Petitioner claims that he was denied his Sixth Amendment right to counsel and that his due process rights were violated when the state court denied his motion to substitute counsel and adjourn his trial date. Ineffective assistance of counsel is clearly a constitutional ground for habeas relief under <u>Strickland v. Washington</u>, 466 U.S. 668

(1984), and its progeny. Additionally, the arbitrary refusal to adjourn a trial date may implicate a defendant's due process rights. See Ungar v. Sarafite, 376 U.S. 575, 589-90 (1964). Thus, petitioner presents at least colorable constitutional issues.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that within thirty (30) days of the date of this order respondent ANSWER the petition, complying with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, and showing cause, if any, why the writ should not issue.

FURTHER, IT IS ORDERED that unless respondent files a dispositive motion with its answer the parties shall abide by the following schedule regarding the filing of briefs on the merits of petitioner's claims: (1) petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days following the filing of respondent's answer within which to file his brief in support of his petition; (2) respondent shall have forty-five (45) days following the filing of petitioner's initial brief within which to file a brief in opposition; and (3) petitioner shall have thirty (30) days following the filing of respondent's opposition brief within which to file a reply brief, if any.

In the event that respondent files a dispositive motion and supporting brief with its answer, this briefing schedule will be suspended and the briefing schedule will be as follows: (1) petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days following the filing of respondent's dispositive motion and supporting initial brief within which to file a brief in opposition; and (2) respondent shall have thirty (30) days following the filing of petitioner's opposition brief within which to file a reply brief, if any.

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7.1(f), the following page limitations apply: briefs in support of or in opposition to the habeas petition or a dispositive motion filed by respondent must

not exceed thirty pages and reply briefs must not exceed fifteen pages, not counting any

statements of facts, exhibits, and affidavits.

Petitioner is advised that he must send copies of all future filings with the court to

counsel for respondent, no matter whether in letter, brief, memorandum, or other form.

Until respondent files his or her answer, these copies should be sent to Gregory Weber at

the address below.

Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, copies of the petition and

this order will be mailed to respondent Cathy Jess and to the Attorney General for the State

of Wisconsin, c/o Gregory Weber, Assistant Attorney General, P.O. Box 7857, Madison,

WI 53707.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 12 day of April, 2006.

/s____ LYNN ADELMAN

District Judge

3